

# Greta and the Great Misapprehension

## 2nd open letter to Greta Thunberg

*Claudia von Werlhof and Discussion Group of the "Planetary Movement for Mother Earth" - Alexandra Danzl, Wolfgang Fischer, Thomas A. Mann, Gudrun Sahlender-Wulf, Dietmar Salamon, Thomas Schramm et al., October 2019*

---

Dear Greta Thunberg,

You have not answered a 1st open letter at the beginning of your steep "career", which has just culminated in the recognition of the Alternative Nobel Prize. I still write a 2nd one. I had approached you full of sympathy for your departure as a kind of grandmother who would like to give you some advice as a kind of granddaughter. It was to get you better information about the real state of Mother Earth, because I had noticed that you obviously did not have it. This time I prefer to address you in my capacity as a scientist, which I am. For I hear that you seek the advice of science, for you seem to trust in your spirit. This is good and absolutely necessary. However, there are always two kinds of science: one that is responsible for nothing less than the endangered state of Mother Earth itself, and one that has something against it. I'm of the latter kind. That's why I used to be an enthusiastic demonstrator and demonstration speaker and at first I was just happy how the youth everywhere reacted in masses to your protest. Finally a movement and also for Mother Earth! Something more beautiful could not happen to me, especially as the founder of the "Planetary Movement for Mother Earth".

But in the meantime I see, just as a scientist, how many aberrations and confusions still exist with you and the "Fridays for Future", and I cannot recognize that they are worked up by you and you. Yes, the true dangers for us and Mother Earth are suppressed and covered up, namely those that really threaten us. But you need the knowledge about it if you act like you do and if you have a responsibility for an increasing number of followers. So you and the "Fridays for Future"-movement care about the state of the earth and its causes, but seem to know nothing about it!

On the contrary, you have joined the assertion of international organizations, certain scientists of IPCC grade 1, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, as well as of corporations and financial people or financial institutions, that CO<sub>2</sub> is the main, indeed the only problem of the planet, and with an 0.04% share in the atmosphere (of which only 10-20% is man-made) even a planetary "climate change" as a result. This would ruin the living conditions on earth and soon take on life-threatening proportions in the form of global warming. Therefore, as decided at the UN Conference in Paris in 2015, action must be taken against this by massively reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. In the name of a supposedly "green" New Deal, a "system change" against this "climate change" and its capitalist causes is now to be initiated. This system change consists in introducing a "sustainable lifestyle" in society, in which the consumption and use of particularly CO<sub>2</sub>-intensive products are foregone or higher taxes have to be paid. This is supposed to put an end to "climate change" and "save" the earth.

So much for the "logic" of the arguments from above, which you have adopted seamlessly and surprisingly well-behaved, i.e. without any contradiction.

What's wrong with that? Pretty much:

1 On the other hand, the planned system change by reducing energy consumption is contrasted by the massive development of the most energy-intensive high-tech dimensions in everyday life, which should lead to the digitisation of all areas of life, the project of the corresponding "smart cities" and the installation of the necessary electromagnetic radiation at 5G level. This dismantling, but also the reconstruction as well as the rebuilding of the industrial society are already a huge business, which is about trillions of dollars (1) and certainly not about something "green" and saving the earth! Yes, the 5G frequency requires the felling of trees in the cities and has so far only been used in the military sector, a weapon that will even destroy life on earth to an unknown extent, from insects, birds and babies in the womb and then starting with the elderly, who will have to reckon with severe damage to their health in the middle (2).

So the plans for what the "system change" you want is to mean have been there for a long time, have nothing to do with the abolition of capitalism and are already being implemented with full force. There are several, initially very simple questions: What is "sustainable" about it? Where's the power supposed to come from? Who should it be reserved for? For this energy level cannot be achieved without fossil fuels and only with renewable energies, whereby the fossil ones are already coming to an end and the renewable ones can only be increased through the conversion of agriculture into energy management and of forests into palm oil plantations - i.e. through massive destruction and hunger production worldwide - not to mention the damage caused by wind turbines, for example, or even by dams for the "alternative" water supply. So then it's a question of expanding nuclear energy, in which the military is particularly interested? Yes, what is this system change, what does it change about the "climate" as a large planetary system, and who falls out? The 5G victims, large regions of the South, the victims of radioactive contamination and... and and?

Why don't you say something about this system change, Greta?

But it's much worse. Because even the CO2 thesis on which everything is based is not correct!

2. it's NOT like CO2 is threatening the earth right now. Yes, the earth would need even more CO2 for its plants and life in general at the moment, because CO2 is an invisible plant gas and not dirt coming from chimneys, as is constantly suggested (3), about which even one does not talk at all. CO2 is also not a greenhouse gas insofar as the earth is open to the sky and therefore not a greenhouse. The greenhouse effect can therefore not occur on a planet at all. Yes, the CO2 ensures that we have oxygen to breathe, because the plants convert it into oxygen. So if you advocate that the CO2 disappears as much as possible, then we would all end up going down with life on the planet by suffocating! So there's something fundamentally wrong with all this argumentation. She stands on feet of clay!

If you believe in science, as you always say, then you should not believe in the IPCC, because it is not a scientific, but a political organization. Thousands of scientists around the world have now spoken out against it (4), precisely because the IPCC claims that CO2 is to blame for this "climate change". The scientists who are not committed to the IPCC and its policies are defending CO2, as I have just done. Others say that climate change could at most come from a change in solar activity. However, they cannot determine these for the times in question. The warming of the

global average temperature claimed by the IPCC has not even occurred in the last 20 years, says NASA, the US space agency. Apart from that, an average temperature for the entire planet is of course an unsuitable, even nonsensical yardstick, because it depends on the respective measuring stations, which have also been changed, and because it merely levels out huge differences and in the end has no significance at all.

But what most scientists do not say is how to interpret the noticeable weather changes that we are all observing. For these are beyond question, but cannot be reconciled with the global climate system, which is much more comprehensive and whose deliberate influence is probably not so easy and certainly not conceivable by a single plant gas. These are simply other, incomparable dimensions. So the question is where these weather changes come from, be it in the form of droughts, floods, regional heat or cold waves, storms and storms that remain in place for a long time, is the warming of the Arctic, which is blatantly above all other temperatures (at least until 2012), the alleged "forest" fires in California, Australia and Portugal, which destroyed houses to their foundations and melted cars, but left the trees around them mostly intact (5), not to mention the catastrophic jungle fires in the Amazon, Africa and South Asia. Also the massive extinction of animals and plants, e.g. insects, birds, corals and trees as well as the otherwise rapidly increasing loss of species can in no way be explained by CO<sub>2</sub>! This is completely impossible and just nonsense. The same applies to the pollution of the air, the soil and the water with not only fine dust, but a nano fine dust of aluminium, strontium, barium, lithium, polymers, coal ash, genetically modified substances, bacteria and many other substances penetrating all organs up to the brain, which have been detected for 2 and more decades now, among other things by the application of aerosols in the atmosphere, above all in the northern hemisphere. The method has the scientific name SRM, Solar Radiation Management, and is supposedly recommended for blocking solar radiation in favour of lower temperatures on Earth - but in reality it has been used for a long time and apparently for completely different purposes, at least for those that harm all life on Earth up to its extinction and cause many diseases of epidemic proportions (6). Finally, contrary to forecasts, in recent years the ozone layer in the atmosphere has been increasingly destroyed, which means that harmful UV radiation is now reaching the earth's surface unfiltered even in the northern hemisphere, threatening microorganisms in particular. So the food chain on land and in the oceans is already attacked, and corals "starve" (7). So if something does not soon happen to strengthen the ozone layer permanently, i.e. over the next few decades, which includes knowing and admitting what it really suffers from - and that is certainly not only the civilian CFC that is supposedly responsible for it, and CO<sub>2</sub> has nothing to do with this - then we will soon have taken care of simply because agriculture can suddenly be exposed to toxic UV-B and C radiation. However, the ozone layer cannot be strengthened by artificially injecting ozone into the stratosphere, but only by ceasing to impair this thin but absolutely vital layer - for example through radioactivity, ionospheric heating, microwaves, air traffic, rocket fuels and supersonic flights.

The many wars in the world and the irreversible consequences of the widespread use of depleted uranium, a waste material from nuclear power, for example, are not even mentioned here (8).

**You see, you have been denied crucial information about the real situation of the planet and its dangers and their causes, explained everything with CO2 no matter what it was, and you have simply believed it. Until today you are and are on your way with the claim to have understood the core of the matter and to have to represent what seems to follow from it.** I also understand that when you're 16, you can't know everything. But what you and the others need to know if you really want to be a movement conscious of their responsibility for Mother Earth and not against her, that knowledge exists! So if you're serious about your movement, get it for yourself. Otherwise your credibility will soon be inevitably gone (9). Thus, it will soon be determined whether CO2 reductions have any effects on the "climate" or the weather, which of course will not be the case at all, since it is not the cause of the problems.

The knowledge unknown to you came about above all because Dr. Rosalie Bertell, whom I recommended to you in my first letter and who also received the alternative Nobel Prize 33 years ago, worked as a biometrician and environmental scientist for the UN on the history of military technologies in East and West since the Second World War. These technologies are the key to answering the question of an explanation of what is happening or can be done today to make it happen. Apart from the damage caused by nuclear interventions, e.g. the explosion of more than two thousand atomic and hydrogen bombs in the atmosphere and on earth, which lasted half a century, it mentions above all postatomic technologies. These are the ones used for **"weather wars, plasma weapons and military geoengineering"**, invented in the last 70 years especially on the basis of the discovery of the handling of electromagnetic waves by the physicist Nikola Tesla and now used more and more all over the planet, e.g. by the increasingly numerous installations of the so-called "ionospheric heaters".

However, all this is not publicly admitted! But it is the case, as can be read in the so-called ENMOD Convention of the UNO of 1977, the Environmental Modification Convention, or the report "Weather as a Force Multiplier - Owning the Weather in 2025" of the US Air Force of 1996. These technologies, for example, have already been discussed twice in the European Parliament, in 1999 and 2013, until the EU Commission banned the EP from dealing with them further in 2016 (!). **In any case, the military activities that have been misappropriated by the public explain everything we find in reality and are generally referred to as "climate change"**. This is the result of decades of war against the earth and its transformation into a literal "weapon of war" of the military in East and West.

Rosalie Bertell, who came to Germany from the USA in 2010, shortly before her death, on the occasion of the 30th anniversary of the Alternative Nobel Prize, therefore called for a discussion on the topic among her colleagues, who were also award-winning:

**"It's not CO2! It's the military!"**

And she hung a petition that was signed by everyone present. It reads:

**"It is morally reprehensible and a declaration of war on mankind and the earth to intervene in the normal functioning of the planetary order by causing or intensifying storms, hurricanes, tsunamis, monsoons, landslides, droughts, floods, earthquakes or volcanic eruptions!"**

So if you and your movement want to come out of the error and the unreflected adoption of the slogans from above into the truth and do what Mother Earth needs now, namely our solidarity because of what is done to her all the time, then take care that you know what it is all about and fight against it. For that is what determines our future, not CO2, which belongs to nature and which you instead portray as its enemy.

Why this is all so twisted, why you are denied the true knowledge, and what CO2 propaganda is really about, you will (have to) find out for yourself. Because there are those interests behind it, against which you supposedly compete with your movement. It is these interests that finance and organise everything on a large scale worldwide: Your weekly Fridays for Future demos, the "doomsday parties" as I call them, along with the "ins", the anticipated dying practice - don't you realize what a perversion that is? They are the ones who produce and provide the regional offices worldwide, the great spectacles, for example with famous pianists, the films, media work, propaganda material and all that - do they do that because they just like you?

5. these interests need you and you to draw the youth and especially the women to their side! For women have always addressed the subject of nature and ecology more than men, simply because they are historically and physically more connected to them. This is now being exploited by it being you who should represent the new plans and interests of capital, promote them and ensure the implementation of their goals. It is you who - like Mao, Hitler or in the GDR - should propagate a kind of "cultural revolution" so that the current growth and energy crisis of capitalism can be overcome, an additional business model can be built up and the new beginning of the system can take place profitably on a technologically more modern, more efficient, but also narrower (!) basis - naturally leaving behind a shambles in the form of the "old" society, which must first be smashed and shattered! How else would that work, without you, without provoking the uprising - and this time one for a truly anti-capitalist society for all? You'll spare them now.

Why are you helping them?

It's wonderful that the boys are excited about Mother Earth. I've waited a long time for this. **But strangely enough, what you are doing now is not a boon to Mother Earth, but her mockery!** What you have done so far is the reverse of what is needed. It's almost its reversal.

You see, Greta, do you see where you've gotten yourself?

You will be very disappointed to see what interests you are really serving, namely those who are responsible for the state you are complaining about while believing that you are a power for good. Don't let yourself be incited against the generation that raised you and against the generation that you yourself could produce, because they allegedly leave behind a "CO2 print" that should be avoided at all costs. That would be the guilt of the living itself, instead of those who destroy it!

But now you can perhaps also explain to yourselves the discomfort which you may already feel because of these mistakes. Your face, Greta, it shows.

So no longer let yourself be abused for the opposite of what you stand up for, by people who have everything but the good of Mother Earth in mind, but even work on her destruction! It would have been a gigantic mistake, a futile effort and a lost time that we all desperately need to really stand up for our planet. Because the clock is ticking, but not for saving CO2!

## **Conclusion:**

You, Greta, and you have missed the point and unwittingly told a lie to the world. You want to enforce a policy that benefits neither the earth nor its weather or climate, but only the profits of certain investors and corporations, as well as the demolition of social structures and livelihoods that no longer bring any profits. Finally you have also distracted from the destructions which for decades have been increasingly perpetrated on the earth and which have been added to those already known, namely those by the military - on the ground, in the water and in the air, recently even from outer space. Thus you prevent that the accompanying, now ever more massively appearing dangers for the life on earth and they themselves are finally seen, recognized and answered at all.

You're doing Earth a disservice. But there is still time for repentance and reparation! But I'm afraid "they" won't let that happen. Prof. Dr. Claudia von Werlhof, Planetary Movement for Mother Earth, Austria.

I would like to thank the PBME Discussion Group for their many comments and the committed debate and cooperation in the drafting of this letter!

---

## **Links and literature:**

**(1) The money behind "Fridays for Future" and the "Green New Deal":**  
<https://www.globalresearch.ca/climate-money-trail/5690209>

**(2) zu 5G:**

<https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/the-appeal>  
<https://eluxemagazine.com/magazine/dangers-of-5g/>

**(3) CO2:**

Federal Environment Agency on the amount of CO2: <https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/daten/klima/atmosphaerische-treibhausgas-konzentrationen#textpart-1> Kritik on the CO2 thesis: <https://needtoknow.news/2019/09/top-level-climate-modeler-spills-the-beans-on-the-nonsense-of-global-warming-crisis/?print=print>  
[https://www.epochtimes.de/assets/uploads/2019/09/Erster-Offener-Brief-an-Klimakabinett\\_Prof-Doehler1.pdf](https://www.epochtimes.de/assets/uploads/2019/09/Erster-Offener-Brief-an-Klimakabinett_Prof-Doehler1.pdf) Der Bauer and his climate: [https://youtu.be/KbGBcL3x\\_8s](https://youtu.be/KbGBcL3x_8s)

**(4) Scientists against IPCC:**

<https://www.cato.org/sites/cato.org/files/serials/files/regulation/1992/4/v15n2-9.pdf>  
<https://www.cato.org/publications/commentary/political-assault-climate-skepticsPBME>  
14th Info Letter 2018, [www.pbme-online.org](http://www.pbme-online.org)

**(5) Forest fires:**

California October 2017:  
<https://www.epochtimes.de/politik/welt/offizielle-version-geoengineering-gibt-es-chemtrails-nicht-und-feuer-die-haeuser-pulverisieren-aber-baeume-verschonena2257258.html> Portugal June 2017  
<https://www.epochtimes.de/politik/europa/waldbrand-in-portugal-unerklaerlich-heiss-und-schwer-zu-loeschen-hat-geoengineering-damit-zu-tun-a2148244.html>

## (6) SRM – Solar Radiation Management:

Andrew Johnson: <https://www.checktheevidence.com/wordpress/2017/09/24/book-climate-change-and-global-warming-exposed-hidden-evidence-disguised-plans/>

## (7) Ozone depletion:

<https://ethz.ch/en/news-and-events/eth-news/news/2018/02/decline-stratospheric-ozone.html>

<http://www.atomicarchive.com/Effects/effects22.shtml>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2291128/>

<https://www.nti.org/gsn/article/limited-nuclear-war-could-deplete-ozone-layer-increasing-radiation/PBME> :

13th info letter, [www.pbme-online.org](http://www.pbme-online.org)

Claudia von Werlhof: Scientists sound the alarm: Ozone layer lets highly dangerous cosmic radiation pass through, in: raum&zeit, 25 June 2018, p. 36-42

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01616832/document>

## (8) Uranium Ammunition:

Frieder Wagner: Death Dust Made in USA. Uranium ammunition contaminates the world. Vienna, Promedia 2019

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Wu9BnNS0CdI>

<https://archive.org/details/BfeDTodesstaubMadeInUSADeadlyDustVerseuchtDieWeltFriederWagner>

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vzS\\_f1sX6\\_8](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vzS_f1sX6_8)

## (9) The other knowledge:

Rosalie Bertell: Planet Earth. The Latest Weapon of War, London, The Womens' Press 2000/ Toronto, Black Rose 2001

**Planet Earth, Gelnhausne, J.K.Fischer Verlag, 4th edition 2018** : Planeta Tierra - la Nueva Guerra, Guadalajara, Mexico, La Casa del Mago 2018

**Pianeta Terra. L'ultima arma di guerra, Trieste, Asterios 2018** : La Planète Terre, ultime arme de guerre, Paris, Talma 2018

Elana Freeland: Under an Ionized Sky, Port Townsend, Feral House 2018

Jacob Darwin Hamblin: Arming Mother Nature. The Birth of Catastrophic

Environmentalism, New York 2013, Oxford University Press

Patrick Pasin: L'Arme Climatique. La Manipulation du Climat par les Militaires, Paris, Talma 2018

Claudia von Werlhof: The New "Military Alchemy" as an Impending "Mother Murder" of the Earth and the Necessity of a Post-Patriarchal Civilization, in: Project Group "Politics of Civilization" (Ed.): Can there be a 'neue Erde'? Frankfurt a.M./New York, 2011a, Peter Lang, pp. 343-396

(Ed.): Global Warning! Geoengineering is Wrecking our Planet, Dublin, Talma 2019 (forthcoming)

[www.fipaz.at](http://www.fipaz.at): Bumerang, Journal for Patriarchal Criticism

[www.pbme-online.org](http://www.pbme-online.org), info letters

Translation: [DeepL](#)